Report of the Panel Pursuant to Security Council Resolution 1809

Briefing to the Special Committee on Peacekeeping

18 March 2009

# SCR 1809

Focused explicitly on:

- "the need to enhance the <u>predictability</u>, <u>sustainability</u> and flexibility of financing regional organisations when they undertake peacekeeping under a United Nations mandate".
- "to consider in-depth the modalities of how to support peacekeeping operations, in particular <u>start-up</u> <u>funding, equipment, logistics</u>, and to consider in-depth <u>lessons</u> from past and current African Union peacekeeping efforts."

# Terms of Reference

• ToRs drawn from SCR 1809

#### Modalities for Predictable and Sustainable Funding

- Reliable sources of funding for AU peace operations <u>mandated</u> by the UN Security Council;
- Funding for developing the AU's <u>capacity</u> to plan, deploy, manage and sustain peace operations;
- Funding to support the further <u>development</u> of the African Peace and Security Architecture (APSA) with the long term objective to see a sustainable African peacekeeping capacity.

#### Wider Context

- Existing capacity-building initiatives,
- AU capacity to implement the panel's recommendations
- The need for cooperation both between the UN Security Council and the AU Peace and Security Council, as well as between respective Secretariats.

# The Panel Members

- Selected jointly by the UN and the AU:
  - Ms. Monica Juma (Kenya),
  - Mr. James Dobbins (USA),
  - Mr. Jean-Pierre Halbwachs (Mauritius),
  - Mr. Toshiyuki Niwa (Japan)
  - Mr. Behrooz Sadry (Islamic Republic of Iran).

### Factors Influencing the Panel's Approach

- Security Council primacy;
- UN/AU strategic relationship;
- AU peace and security policy;
- The political commitment of AU member states;
- The need for resources without undermining the flexibility of regional organisations;
- Lessons learned from regional peacekeeping;
- The need to develop AU institutional capacity;
- Focus at the continental level;
- Coordination between capacity building partners;
- Effective and appropriately funded training programmes;

# Outcomes

- Panel as a significant step in a process;
- Requirement for detailed follow up to assess both the practicality and implications of the recommendations;
- Need for improved coordination both AU/UN and with capacity building partners;

## The Report's Recommendations

- Guided by:
  - AU ability to respond to crises,
  - the need to build up a sustainable AU peacekeeping capacity,
  - that such capacity is capable of promoting long term stability on the Continent,
  - and that it can contribute to the wider concept of a collective approach to peace and security;

#### **Recommendations - UN/AU Relationship**

- Need for enhanced UN / AU strategic relationship to develop a 'shared vision.'
- Strengthened Secretariat / Commission relationship:
  - EU / UN Steering Committee Model,
  - Staff training, exchanges with focus administration, logistics, finance

#### **Recommendations - Institutional Capacity**

- Need to develop institutional capacity a priority – both structures and processes.
- As structures are developed they must be supported by appropriate training.
- The AU should identify its priorities for personnel training, particularly in those areas dealing with financial, logistic and administrative issues.

## **Recommendations - Financial (1)**

- Focussed at the continental level;
- UN assessed contributions on a case by case basis to support UN Security Council authorized African Union peacekeeping operations.
- Criteria:
  - Time limited 6 months
  - Primarily (but not necessarily) in kind
  - Transition to the UN

### **Recommendations - Financial (2)**

- Long term capacity building to be financed by a multidonor trust fund.
  - The Fund will be governed by a Board representing the AU, UN, and donors. Administration to be fully transferred to the AU at an appropriate stage (i.e. when the management structures and processes are in place).
  - Simplified reporting to ease the burden on the AU.
- Long term objective to encourage AU system for assessed contributions

## **Recommendations - Logistics**

- The UN model is not necessarily the best option for the AU;
- Avoid stockpiling large quantities of equipment and the costs of maintenance
- Explore alternatives such as commercial multi-function contracts - Logistics Civil Augmentation Programmes (LOGCAPS).

# Follow Up

- Two main work streams:
  - Joint UN/AU assessment on implementation of the recommendations to strengthen the strategic relationship and the establishment of multi-donor trust funds.
  - Report of the Secretary General to UN legislative bodies to examine detailed modalities for the use of assessed funding.